gen it

ER 60-2429-a

STAT

7 APR 1960

Mr. Cornelius ven Stolk 44 Whitehall Street Roce 1131 New York 4, N. T.

Descr Mr. van Stolk:

In the temporary absence of Mr. Dulles from the city, I would like to acknowledge and thank you for the article from THE ECOSOMET, After the Theology.

When Mr. Delles returns to his office, I will bring this to his attention.

Sincerely,

Signed

Assistant to the Director

\$TAT

O/DCI rad 7 Apr 60
Distribution:
Orig - Addressee
1 - JSE
1 - AAB -chrono
- ER
1 - AAB w/basic for hold file.

The state of the s

The second secon



The **Economist**

MARCH 19, 1960

After the Theology Calling on Mr Kishi The Anxious Ally Buying at a Discount	1082
NOTES OF THE WEEK	1085
France and Britain; and Russia; Africa; Labour Party; By-Elections; Italy; Brighouse Before Philippi; Centra South Africa; Farm Prices; Wages; Trades Union; South Korea; Cyprus; (Administration; Political Justice; Wales; Soviet Union.	Europe; al Africa; Electrical Caravans; Libraries;
LETTERS	1096
BOOKS	
Giant of Endeavour	1097
AMERICAN SURVEY	
Dead Centre on Civil Rights	1103
Spring Survey; Draw Over Berlin; Stick?; Western Approaches; Leasing for Caesar's In-Laws.	No Sugar or Profits;
THE WORLD OVERSEAS	
Back to the Old Green Table	1111
Back to the Old Green Table Libya's Oil Boom; Prophetic War Canadians; Trials of Benelux; Sarawa Up; Israel's Afro-Asian Bridge.	ning for k Catches
(1) [17] 高速温度设置 (10) [10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10]	7.8
THE DUCINESS WORLD	- 1 h
THE BUSINESS WORLD Verdict on Money Agenda for the Railways	
THE BUSINESS WORLD Verdict on Money Agenda for the Railways BUSINESS NOTES	
THE BUSINESS WORLD Verdict on Money Agenda for the Railways BUSINESS NOTES Liners; In the Markets; The Econor Motor; Local Authority Bills; Ai Aid on Paper; Overseas Trade; Tr ciations; Bank Advances; Plans for Oil Heaters; Fuel; Bids and Deals; Ba Gilt-edged Serial; Atomic Energy; Polyt Insurance Funds; Euratom; Soft Dr Jasper Case; Shorter Notes.	
THE BUSINESS WORLD Verdict on Money Agenda for the Railways BUSINESS NOTES Liners; In the Markets; The Econor Motor; Local Authority Bills; Ai Aid on Paper; Overseas Trade; Tn ciations; Bank Advances; Plans for Oil Heaters; Fuel; Bids and Deals; Ba Gill-edged Serial; Atomic Energy; Poly Insurance Funds; Euratom; Soft Dr Jasper Case; Shorter Notes.	1123 1125 1127 ny; Ford r Fares; de Asso- Parking; nk Notes; propylene; nks; The
THE BUSINESS WORLD Verdict on Money Agenda for the Railways BUSINESS NOTES Liners; In the Markets; The Econor Motor; Local Authority Bills; Ai Aid on Paper; Overseas Trade; Tr ciations; Bank Advances; Plans for Oil Heaters; Fuel; Bids and Deals; Ba Gill-egded Serial; Atomic Energy; Poly Insurance Funds; Euratom; Soft Dr. Jasper Case; Shorter Notes. COMPANY AFFAIRS Associated Electrical Industries; Jagu Swan, Hunter; British Nylon Spinner Printers; Bradford Dyers; Hongk Shanghai Banking; International Nickel Pacific; N.V. Philips; United States	1123 1125 1127 ny; Ford r Fares; ide Asso- Parking; nk Notes; ropylene; nks; The 1163 ar Cars; s; Calico ong and icanadian iceel.
THE BUSINESS WORLD Verdict on Money Agenda for the Railways BUSINESS NOTES Liners; In the Markets; The Econor Motor; Local Authority Bills; Ai Aid on Paper; Overseas Trade; Tr ciations; Bank Advances; Plans for Oil Heaters; Fuel; Bids and Deals; Ba Gilt-edged Serial; Atomic Energy; Poly Insurance Funds; Euratom; Soft Dr Jasper Case; Shorter Notes. COMPANY AFFAIRS Associated Electrical Industries; Jagu Swan, Hunter; British Nylon Spinner Printers; Bradford Dyers; Hongk Shanghai Banking; International Nickel Pacific; N.V. Philips; United States London and New York Stocks	1123 1125 1127 ny; Ford r Fares; de Asso- Parking; nk Notes; propylene; nks; The 1163 ar Cars; s; Calico ong and Canadian iteel.
THE BUSINESS WORLD Verdict on Money Agenda for the Railways BUSINESS NOTES Liners; In the Markets; The Econor Motor; Local Authority Bills; Ai Aid on Paper; Overseas Trade; Tr ciations; Bank Advances; Plans for Oil Heaters; Fuel; Bids and Deals; Ba Gilt-edged Serial; Atomic Energy; Poly Insurance Funds; Euratom; Soft Dr Jasper Case; Shorter Notes. COMPANY AFFAIRS Associated Electrical Industries; Jagu Swan, Hunter; British Nylon Spinner Printers; Bradford Dyers; Hongk Shanghai Banking; International Nickel Pacific; N.V. Philips; United States London and New York Stocks Money and Exchanges	1123 1125 1127 ny; Ford r Fares; de Asso- Parking; nk Notes; oropylene; nks; The 1163 nar Cars; s; Calico ong and canadian iteel. 1166 1168
THE BUSINESS WORLD Verdict on Money Agenda for the Railways BUSINESS NOTES Liners; In the Markets; The Econor Motor; Local Authority Bills; Ai Aid on Paper; Overseas Trade; Tr ciations; Bank Advances; Plans for Oil Heaters; Fuel; Bids and Deals; Ba Gilt-edged Serial; Atomic Energy; Poly Insurance Funds; Euratom; Soft Dr Jasper Case; Shorter Notes. COMPANY AFFAIRS Associated Electrical Industries; Jagu Swan, Hunter; British Nylon Spinner Printers; Bradford Dyers; Hongk Shanghai Banking; International Nickel Pacific; N.V. Philips; United States London and New York Stocks	1123 1125 1127 ny; Ford r Fares; de Asso- Parking; nk Notes; propylene; nks; The 1163 ar Cars; s; Calico ong and Canadian teel. 1166 1168 1168

After the Theology

"This is what the Church is said to want, not party m. n, bu missible, temperate, sober, well-judging persons to guide it through the control of no-meaning, between the Scylla and Charybdis of Aye and No." Newman: History of My Religious Opinions from 1 39 to 641.

EITHER Mr Gaitskell's original intention to abolish Cause Four of Labour's constitution, nor Wednesday's "comprotaise" greement to retain it while explaining that it does not mean what it says will affect the policy of any next Labour government by a tittle. But the later and of tragicomedy is bound to heighten discussion about whether there will ϵ another Labour government at all. An increasing number of experienced political observers, at home and even more abroad, are now inclined to assure (or hope?) that the skids are under British socialism for our time. It is said that the two million or so middle of the road voters who decide British elections is a framutably recognise that Labour's policies are many years out of date; the class loyalty to Labour is dying; that the party is regarded by the public a body of ferocious (if sometimes thwarted) nationalisers; that its international and contradictions are gradually making it impossible for its leaders to tope to work out coherent policies; and (though by all experience this word, seem very unlikely) that the party may soon begin to break up into separate pieces. In a week when Labour leaders have admittedly shown their emining-like capacity for political suicide in the vividest relief, it is worth examining these propositions to see how far they bear any relation to facts

is The first three of them almost certainly exaggerate Labour's sugnit. Only two years ago this week, when the Torrington by election was was the Tories who were in the mire; if a general election has been held at that time, a Labour government would have been returned with a majority of probably over 150 in the House. The policies proffered by the labour party today are not noticeably more old-fashioned or irrelevant that those government that would have been elected then; the main thing that an happened in the meanwhile is that the Tories have grown more popular as the sconomy has turned from recession to boom. Again, class loyalty to Labout is by the nature of growth a diminishing asset, but its death from natural cause is more likely to be lingering than speedy; the residual feeling that the "1 arty ands for the workers" means that Labour would require heavier blows to knock permanently out of its position as second party in the state than the Liberals did in the twenties and thirties. The prevalence in the public mind of the bog of wholesale nationalisation has been overestimated by both Mr Gaitskel and lastitute of Directors; the public knew long before Mr Gaitskell's Clause Dor speeches honestly began to explain it to them that his party are not wholes a mationalisers but hypocrites.

It is the other two disabilities of the Labour party that a spech more worrying. They do hold out some threat of a process of self-strangulation that Eduld saddle the country with a long period of weak an l divided opposition during a generation of Tory rule. The first of these dangers is that the habit of compromise and internally diplomatic doubletalk is becoming so ingrained in the Labour movement that it may soon no longer provide a look in which able and intelligent men can see any real hope of working out no and relevant ideas. Labour politics are becoming the art of impossible verba patertionism.

progress, it of the Labour

THE ECONOMIST MARCH 19, 1960

Mr Gaitskell's experience with his own new testament, which he started to write as a brave and thoughtful challenge for a new world, is the present case in point.

Eleven of the twelve points that he originally submitted to the executive on Wednesday were expressions of high flown sentiments with which almost everybody could agree, chiefly because most of them have no relevance to possible state action; nobody is going to vote against "the brotherhood of man," but no government decree is going to bring it about either. Even the parts of these eleven points that do pretend to affect future state policy would be accepted by most people, though the advantage of writing them into a party constitution is obscure. But the immediate attention of the country is inevitably being concentrated on the twelfth point—on the new testament's wording about nationalisation. As the clause

been constrained to say that he:

is convinced that all these social and economic objectives can be achieved only through the extension of common ownership substantial enough to give the community power over the commanding heights of the economy, including State-owned industries and firms, Producer and Consumer Co-operation, Municipal Ownership, and public participation in private concerns. In recognising that both public and private enterprise have a place in the economy, [Labour] believes that further extensions of common ownership should be decided from time

has finally been amended by the executive, Mr Gaitskell has

THE second main danger before the Labour party is that The second main danger was the men may be increasingly driven away from it because they feel as great a derision for its bitter battle of personalities as they do for the vacuum in its policies. In a sense, of course, a real split in the party now might be its quickest and healthiest way back to power. If Mr Foot, Mr Mikirdo and the other genuine fundamentalists were in fact to hive themselves off into a separate organisation, they would get as tiny a vote from the British public in election fights against official Labour candidates as Mr Zilliacus and his supporters did when they were hived off as "independent socialists" in 1950. But the last few months have shown that Mr Gaitskell's senior colleagues will not help him to draw a clear and commonsense line of policy that would deliberately compel a small band of fundamentalist zealots to trim themselves off unheeded in this way; instead they have nearly all been angling their speeches (as on Wednesday they angled their votes) to attract as much approval as possible from the zealots, while not quite obliging Mr Gaitskell himself to resign. The reason is tha the Labour High Command, having been starved of 1944 63 mental responsibility for nine years, has ceased to be an executive body that is at all interested in putting any particular

policy into effect; it has become much more like some mediae al royal court, in which a small band of favourites, a rather larger band of potential rebels, and a clique of trade union parons are largely intent upon a personal struggle for power, while publicly protesting that they are doing no such thing

Is this struggle bound to go on, until the party has torn itself to pieces? There is one thing that could very quickly stop it. The source of Mr Gaitskell's control over his party and most of its policy during the last Parliament was that he was regarded as a prospective source of patronage; he was believed to be a probable future Prime Minister, who would one day have ministerial offices to hand out. The main source of his difficulties today is that he is no longer really regarded in this light, because many of his immediate lieutenants have caught from the professional commentators the disease of excess pessimism about their prospects. The first by-elections of this Parliament were taking place on Thursday, and the results will be known by the time this issue of The Economist is in readers' hands; as we write it is impossible to forecast whether the divisions in the party will have much effect upon the voting, but it is easy to guess the effect that the voting will have on the divisions in the party. If the tide of public opinion proves to have turned mysteriously back to Labour, because satisfaction with the Tories has fallen off, then most of the present factionalism and inspired anti-Gaitskellism in the Labour party will magically disappear. But if the tide of opinion is still running the other way, if Brighouse has gone securely Tory and if the Liberal alternative to Labour has made striking headway at West Harrow, then the process of demoralisation in and about the Labour party is very likely indeed to acquire a new and dangerous momentum of

Nobopy can tell what would happen then. The real trouble with Labour today is not the obstinately anachronistic principles of some of its minor zealots, but the spineless expediency—the words are not harsh enough—of some of its leading men. They have now willingly made their leader and their party a laughing stock rather than risk losing any of their personal intra-party prestige or risk facing a row at their annual union conferences. Of course, it is part of Labour's credo that men can be changed. One day, any true socialist must believe, the present Labour High Command might suddenly and magically become a community "recognising that . . . anarchy and the struggle for power . . . must lead to universal destruction," determined that everybody's "effort, skill and creative energy be contributed to the common good, rejecting "selfish, acquisitive canons," standing for a society "with natural, friendly relations between the individuals who . false values," resolved to compose it, uninhibited by 'resist all forms of collective intolerance and prejudice." "subordinating all concentration of power to the interests of the community as a whole."

These are all ideals that Mr Gaitstell in this original draft of a new constitution, said that sought to see suffused throughtul a Labout This winter's said story of Inflational blocked reform suggests that he had better concentrate on seeing them suffused through Labour's national executive room instead.

Approved For Release 2003/06/26: CIA-ROP80B01676R90379907010714 FIGA

To the Honorace actor W. Ducles Central Intelligence againg Washington 25 DC.

Approved For Release 2003/06/26: CIA-RDP80B01676R003700070101-5

Approved For Release 2003/06/26: CIA-RDP80B01676R003700070101-5

Approved For Release 2003/06/26 : CIA-RDP80B01676R003700070101-5